

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

The National Toxicology Program (NTP), a branch of the National Institute of Health, presented a preliminary report from a study that was initiated by the Food and Drug Administration in 1999, started in 2014, completed in 2015, and a “preliminary report” was presented in May 27, 2016. This study has shown a direct link between RF radiation and cancer^[i].

Dr. Ron Melnick was a Senior Toxicologist and Director of Special Programs in the National Toxicology Program at the time of the design and stated during a talk on the NTP rat study “We tested the hypothesis that cellphone radiation could not cause health effects, and we feel that that hypothesis has now been disproved, because these results clearly show that cellphone radiation can cause adverse health effects”^[ii].

The NTP issued this preliminary report because the new information from this study showed that cellular radiation from cell phones caused cancer in rats. This information would be of great concern for the general population and to the necessary public entities that controlled public health, safety and welfare and they needed to be informed so they could take appropriate measures to insure public health, safety and welfare; along with informing the general population so they could take measure immediately.

The American Cancer Society responded to the above study by stating the following:

“The U.S. National Toxicology Program (NTP) has released partial results from an animal study of the effect of radio frequency radiation associated with cell phones. The group found radio frequency radiation was linked to a higher risk of two cancers. Below is a response from **Otis W. Brawley, M.D., American Cancer Society Chief Medical Officer**. “For years, the understanding of the potential risk of radiation from cell phones has been hampered by a lack of good science. This report from the National Toxicology Program (NTP) is good science.

“The NTP report linking radio frequency radiation (RFR) to two types of cancer marks a paradigm shift in our understanding of radiation and cancer risk. The findings are unexpected; we wouldn't reasonably expect non-ionizing radiation to cause these tumors. This is a striking example of why serious study is so important in evaluating cancer risk. It's interesting to note that early studies on the link between lung cancer and smoking had similar resistance, since theoretical arguments at the time suggested that there could not be a link.

^[i] <https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/areas/cellphones/>

^[ii] Unofficial transcript typed by Barb Payne of the 53---minute audio heard on June 4, 2016 at <http://ehtrust.org/head---research---design---25m---us---government--> study---cellphones---provides---additional---analyses---discusses---implications---scientists---israeli---institute---advanced---studies---hebrew/, page 2.